THE HONORABLE JAMES L. ROBART 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Plaintiff. 12 CASE NO. C22-0485JLR v. 13 THE BOEING COMPANY, 14 JOINT STIPULATED MOTION AND [Proposed] ORDER TO EXTEND Defendant. 15 DISCOVÉRY DEADLINES 16 THE BOEING COMPANY, Noting Date: February 26, 2024 17 18 Counterclaimant, v. 19 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 20 21 Counterclaim-Defendant. 22 The United States and Boeing jointly move the Court for a brief extension of the case 23 deadlines established in the ORDER re Parties' Joint Proposed Scheduling Order for Phase I 24 Proceedings (ECF No. 68). As described below, the Parties have diligently pursued fact 25 discovery in this matter but have determined that it will be difficult to complete discovery on the 26 27 JOINT STIPULATED U.S. Department of Justice MOT. TO EXTEND 28 PO Box 7611 DISCOVERY DEADLINES 1 Washington, DC 20044 C22-0485JLR (202) 616-6536

ARGUMENT

the Parties have diligently pursued fact discovery. Beginning shortly after issuance of the

interrogatories, and requests for admission on each other, and have served timely written

response to the United States' requests. The Parties have undertaken extensive efforts to

responses to those requests and met and conferred as needed.¹ The United States has reviewed

over 40,000 documents and produced over 180,000 pages in response to Boeing's requests, and

Boeing has reviewed a similarly large volume of documents and produced over 72,000 pages in

conform to the current schedule. But given the volume, age, means of storage, and location of

the potentially responsive documents in this case – challenges that have come to the fore as the

Parties served requests for production and conducted searches – the Parties have concluded that

it will not be possible to complete fact discovery on the current schedule. The Parties therefore

request an extension of case deadlines by approximately three months, with minor deviations to

accommodate holidays and avoid scheduling conflicts. The Parties believe this revised schedule

documents that may be responsive to pending requests for production, to schedule and conduct

will allow them sufficient time to collect, review, and produce the voluminous remaining

fact depositions, and to conduct expert discovery. The Parties may also be able to resolve

discovery disputes in the additional time, avoiding the need for motions practice.

current scheduling order, the Parties have served numerous requests for production,

Since the Court issued the current scheduling order (ECF No. 68) on September 27, 2023,

1 2 current schedule, and therefore seek to extend the deadlines by approximately three months to allow for successful completion of fact discovery.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

C22-0485JLR

JOINT STIPULATED MOT. TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

unnecessary motions practice.

continuing those discussions, with the goal of promptly resolving any disputes and avoiding

U.S. Department of Justice PO Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-6536

2

¹ The Parties are currently discussing the sufficiency of some discovery responses and are

JOINT STIPULATED MOT. TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES C22-0485JLR

Accordingly, the Parties request that the Court adopt the following revised dates into the scheduling order for this case (all other elements of the existing scheduling order would remain unchanged):

Event	Current Due Date	Proposed Revised
	Under ECF No. 68	Due Date
Parties must complete Phase I fact	April 15, 2024	July 19, 2024
discovery. All discovery shall be		
served sufficiently in advance to be		
completed by this date.		
The identity of any Phase I expert who	April 15, 2024	July 19, 2024
may testify at trial regarding issues on		
which the Party has the burden of		
persuasion must be disclosed.		
Initial Phase I expert written reports	May 16, 2024	August 30, 2024
completed in accordance with Fed. R.		
Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served.		
The identity of any experts who may	July 2, 2024	October 4, 2024
testify in rebuttal to any initial Phase I		
expert must be disclosed.		
Any rebuttal expert's written report	July 12, 2024	October 31, 2024
completed in accordance with Fed. R.		
Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) must be served.		
All Phase 1 expert discovery must be	September 6, 2024	January 31, 2025
completed.		
All non-dispositive motions, other	September 6, 2024	January 31, 2025
than motions in limine are due.		
All dispositive motions are due.	September 27, 2024	February 28, 2025
Motions in limine are due.	October 31, 2024	March 14, 2025
Final Pretrial Conference.	February 3, 2025	May 5, 2025
Phase I trial ready.	March 17, 2025	June 17, 2025
		August 12, 2025*

^{*}The court sets the trial date for August 12, 2025, as the parties' proposed trial date conflicts with other matters on the court's trial calendar.

CONCLUSION

The Parties respectfully request that the Court grant this Motion and adopt the proposed revised due dates proposed.

U.S. Department of Justice PO Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-6536

1	Respectfully submitted,		
2	For the United States of America:		
3	TODD KIM		
4	Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Divisio	n	
5	U.S. Department of Justice		
6	/s/ Stefan J. Bachman STEFAN J. BACHMAN	Dated:	February 26, 2024
7	RACHAEL KAMONS		
8	SHEILA McANANEY Environmental Enforcement Section		
9	LAURA GLICKMAN		
10	AMANDA V. LINEBERRY Environmental Defense Section		
11	P.O. Box 7611		
12	Washington, DC 20044-7611 Phone: (202) 616-6536 (Bachman)		
13	Stefan.Bachman@usdoj.gov Rachael.Kamons@usdoj.gov		
14	Sheila.McAnaney@usdoj.gov Laura.Glickman@usdoj.gov		
15	Amanda.Lineberry@usdoj.gov DAVIS H. FORSYTHE		
16	Environmental Enforcement Section		
17	SONYA J. SHEA Environmental Defense Section		
18	999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 370		
19	Denver, CO 80202 Davis.Forsythe@usdoj.gov		
20	Sonya.Shea@usdoj.gov		
21	TESSA M. GORMAN		
22	United States Attorney		
23	BRIAN C. KIPNIS		
24	Assistant United States Attorney Office of the United States Attorney		
25	5220 United States Courthouse		
26	700 Stewart Street Seattle, WA 98101-1271		
27			
28	JOINT STIPULATED MOT. TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES C22-0485JLR	4	U.S. Department of Justice PO Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-6536

1	Phone: (206) 553-7970	
2	Brian.Kipnis@usdoj.gov	
3	For The Boeing Company:	
4	/s/ Marten N. King	Dated: February 26, 2024
5	David J. Burman, WSBA No. 10611 Kathleen M. O'Sullivan, WSBA No. 27850	
6	Meredith R. Weinberg, WSBA No. 45713	
7	Marten N. King, WSBA No. 57106 Paige L. Whidbee, WSBA No. 55072	
8	Perkins Coie LLP	
	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099	
9	Telephone: +1.206.359.8000	
10	Facsimile: +1.206.359.9000	
11	DBurman@perkinscoie.com KOSullivan@perkinscoie.com	
12	MWeinberg@perkinscoie.com	
13	MKing@perkinscoie.com PWhidbee@perkinscoie.com	
14	Shane R. Swindle, AZ Bar No. 11738	
15	(admitted pro hac vice) P. Derek Petersen, AZ Bar No. 25683	
	(admitted pro hac vice)	
16	Perkins Coie LLP	
17	2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012	
18	Telephone: +1.602.351.8000	
19	Facsimile: +1.602.648.7000 SSwindle@perkinscoie.com	
20	PDPetersen@perkinscoie.com	
21	Scott M. McCaleb, DC Bar No. 439925 (admitted pro hac vice)	
	Wiley Rein LLP	
22	2050 M Street NW Washington, DC 20036	
23	SMcCaleb@wiley.law	
24		
25		
26		
27	JOINT STIPULATED	
28	MOT. TO EXTEND	U.S. Department of Justice PO Box 7611
	DISCOVERY DEADLINES 5 C22-0485JLR	Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-6536

ORDER Based on the foregoing, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 27, 2025 The Honorable James L. Robart UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE JOINT STIPULATED U.S. Department of Justice PO Box 7611 MOT. TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

C22-0485JLR

Washington, DC 20044 (202) 616-6536